



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,063	04/26/2001	Rabindranath Dutta	AUS920010005US1	8503
75	90 08/11/2004		EXAMI	NER .
Marilyn Smith Dawkins International Business Machines Corporation Intellectual Property Law Department Internal Zip 4054, 11400 Burnet Road			CHEN, CHONGSHAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	19
Austin, TX 78	3758		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		//
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/843,063	DUTTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chongshan Chen	2172
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 № 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition of	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10,18-24,27-30 and 33-35</u> is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,9,18-21,23,27-29 and 33-35</u> is 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8,10,22,24 and 30</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. is/are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receiv tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-2, 4-10, 18-24, 27-30 and 33-35 are pending in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

2. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 17 May 2004, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

3. After an Appeal Conference, Conferees decided to withdraw the Finality of the last Office action and therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive and too long. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,063

Art Unit: 2172

Claim Objections

Page 3

5. Claims 8 and 22 are objected to because of the following informalities: please spell out "DOM". Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1, 18, 28 and 33-35 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16 and 19-24 of copending Application No. 09/843,059. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: capture multimedia objects and playback the captured multimedia objects.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,063 Page 4

Art Unit: 2172

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 10. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 18-20, 28 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olah et al. ("Olah", US 6,446,119 B1) in view of Pavley et al. ("Pavley", US 6,317,141 B1).

As per claim 1, Olah teaches a method for displaying, at a client, transient messages received over a network, the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/843,063

Art Unit: 2172

Page 5

capturing, independently of a user action., at different times, a plurality of separate screen images of a plurality of different multimedia objects each containing at least one transient message rendered on a display at the client (Olah, col. 4, lines 32-33, screen captures);

storing each captured screen image in a chronological list (Olah, col. 4, lines 32-33, log).

Olah teaches displaying the captured screen images, but does not explicitly disclose displaying the chronological list with control buttons for enabling a subsequent rendering of the stored screen captured images in at least one of a forward and backward succession, at a user configurable rate, in response to a user selection of one of the displayed control buttons, wherein the displayed control buttons are independent of any playback control displayed in conjunction with initially rendering a given multimedia object from which the screen images were captured. Pavley discloses creating a slide show for the captured screen images (Pavley, col. 2, lines 15-20). A slide show such as Microsoft PowerPoint displays a list of multimedia objects in a forward succession at a user configurable rate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a slide show presentation to display the captured screen images in the system of Engle. A slide show sequentially displays all the captured screen images so that the user does not need to select and play the screen image one at a time. It is much convenient for the user.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claim 1.

As per claim 5, Olah and Pavley teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach the different times are determined by a configurable periodic interval (Olah, Fig. 2-3).

As per claim 6, Olah and Pavley teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 5, and further teach the configurable periodic interval occurs for a configurable duration of time (Olah, Fig. 2-3).

Claims 18-20, 28 and 33-35 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1-2 and 4-6.

11. Claims 7, 9, 21, 23, 27 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olah et al. ("Olah", US 6,446,119 B1) in view of Pavley et al. ("Pavley", US 6,317,141 B1) and further in view of Asai et al. ("Asai", Pub. No.: US 2002/0000984 A1).

As per claim 7, Olah and Pavley teach all the claimed subject matters as discussed in claim 1, and further teach capture screen images at different times. However, neither Olah nor Pavley explicitly discloses the different times are determined by a change in content. Asai teaches determining whether the received image is new or not (Asai, page 21, [0335]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine whether the received image is new or not and use the determining step as triggering event to capture image in the system of Olah. Because the system of Olah captures all the screen images the user displayed. If the system has to check whether the displayed image is new or not constantly, the performance of the system will be compromised. By using the determining step of Asai as triggering event to capture image in the system of Olah will save system resource and make the system more efficient.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,063 Page 7

Art Unit: 2172

Claims 9, 21, 23, 27 and 29 are rejected on grounds corresponding to the reasons given above for claims 1 and 7.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 8, 10, 22, 24 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest "utilizing a document object model of the displayed page to determine the change of content as a triggering event to capture the screen image".

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wynn et al. (US 6,667,751 B1) teach linear web browser history viewer.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chongshan Chen whose telephone number is 703-305-8319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,063

Art Unit: 2172

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703)305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 5, 2004

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER